

Comment on “Superinstantons and the Reliability of Perturbation Theory in Non-Abelian Models”

In a recent letter [1] Patrascioiu and Seiler argued that the results of standard perturbation theory (PT) are not valid for two dimensional models with a non-abelian global symmetry. They considered such a theory in a finite box of size L , with special boundary conditions (BC) called “superinstantons” (SI) and showed that in the thermodynamic limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ the 2-loop corrections are finite, but differ from those obtained from PT with standard BC. They concluded that, when SI configurations are taken into account, renormalization group (RG) β -functions are modified and that the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ and the weak-coupling expansion do not commute.

In fact the results of [1] do not contradict standard PT. Indeed one can show from general principles that: (1) PT with a SI BC is infra-red (IR) divergent; (2) the IR divergent terms are associated, via the short distance operator product expansion (OPE), to singular local operators, not present for classical backgrounds; (3) taking into account these operators, the perturbative RG functions are not modified.

Let us show this for the non-linear $O(N)$ σ -model considered in [1], with N -component unit vector field $\vec{S} = (\vec{\pi}, \sigma = \sqrt{1 - \vec{\pi}^2})$, defined in a square box $\Lambda_L = [-L/2, L/2] \times [-L/2, L/2]$, with Dirichlet (D) BC $\vec{\pi} = \vec{0}$ on $\partial\Lambda_L$, and with the additional SI constraint $\vec{\pi}(0) = \vec{0}$ at the origin. The 2-point function with the SI BC is related to that with the D BC by

$$\langle \vec{S}(x) \cdot \vec{S}(y) \rangle_{\text{SI}} = \frac{\langle \vec{S}(x) \cdot \vec{S}(y) \delta(\vec{\pi}(0)) \rangle_{\text{D}}}{\langle \delta(\vec{\pi}(0)) \rangle_{\text{D}}} \quad (1)$$

with $\delta(\vec{\pi})$ the Dirac distribution in $\mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. Its perturbative expansion is $\langle \vec{S}(x) \cdot \vec{S}(y) \rangle_{\text{SI}} = 1 + c_1 g + c_2 g^2 + \dots$. For simplicity we first regularize the short-distance divergences by using dimensional regularization, with space dimension $D = 2 - \epsilon$ ($\epsilon > 0$), and use the continuum action $S = \frac{1}{2g} \int d^D x (\partial \vec{S}(x))^2$. Adapting the results of [2], and the techniques of [3,4] to deal with the singular operator $\delta(\vec{\pi})$, it is easy to show that the $L \rightarrow \infty$ expansion of (1) is given by a sum over local operators $A(0)$ located at $x = 0$, and with support in field space at $\{\vec{\pi}(0) = 0\}$

$$\langle \vec{S}(x) \cdot \vec{S}(y) \rangle_{\text{SI}} = \sum_A C^A(x, y) \frac{\langle A(0) \rangle_{\text{D}}}{\langle \delta(\vec{\pi}(0)) \rangle_{\text{D}}} \quad (2)$$

where the OPE coefficients C^A are *independent* from the specific BC used and from L and are *finite* in PT. The BC and L dependence is entirely contained in the expectation values ratios (evaluated in the box Λ_L with D BC) $\langle A(0) \rangle_{\text{D}} / \langle \delta(\vec{\pi}(0)) \rangle_{\text{D}}$, which scale as $L^{-\dim[A]} f_A(gL^\epsilon)$, with f_A calculable in PT, and where $\dim[A]$ is the canonical dimension of A ($\dim[\vec{\pi}] = 0$, $\dim[x] = -1$). Only

operators with $\dim[A] = 0$ can give finite or divergent contributions when $L \rightarrow \infty$, operators with $\dim[A] > 0$ give subdominant corrections. The first dimensionless operator is $A_0 = \delta(\vec{\pi})$: $f_{A_0} = 1$ and the coefficient $C^{A_0} = 1 + c_1^{(0)} g + c_2^{(0)} g^2 + \dots$ gives the standard IR finite PT. However additional operators appear, of the form $A_n = (\Delta_{\vec{\pi}})^n \delta(\vec{\pi})$, with $\Delta_{\vec{\pi}}$ the Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. The first one, A_1 , is such that $C^{A_1} = c_2^{(1)} g^2 + c_3^{(1)} g^3 + \dots$, and that $f_{A_1}(g) = f_{-1}^{(1)} g^{-1} + f_0^{(1)} + f_1^{(1)} g + \dots$. Simple diagrammatics shows that $C^{A_n} = \mathcal{O}(g^{2n})$ and $f_{A_n} = \mathcal{O}(g^{-n})$. Therefore the coefficient of g of (1) behaves as $c_1 = c_1^{(0)} + L^{-\epsilon} c_2^{(1)} f_{-1}^{(1)} + \dots$ when $L \rightarrow \infty$. Its IR limit coincides with the standard PT result, $c_1^{(0)}$. The coefficient of g^2 behaves as $c_2 = c_2^{(0)} + c_2^{(1)} f_0^{(1)} + L^{-\epsilon} c_3^{(1)} f_{-1}^{(1)} + \dots$ and has a finite IR limit, different from the PT result, $c_2^{(0)}$. However, the coefficient of g^3 is IR singular $c_3 = c_2^{(1)} f_1^{(1)} L^\epsilon + \dots$, as well as the higher order terms.

These conclusions are independent of the regularization, and can be extended to the $D = 2$ lattice model of [1]: with SI BC, the 2-point function is IR finite at order g^2 but differs from standard PT; at order g^n , $n > 2$, it is IR divergent, with $\ln(L)^{n-2}$ terms. These results are valid *order by order* in PT, and apply to the renormalized theory as well: to construct the continuum limit for finite L , besides the coupling constant and wave-function renormalization, one must also renormalize the SI insertion operator $A_0(0)$. Taking this effect into account, the PT β -functions are unchanged, but the renormalized theory with SI BC is IR divergent at order g^3 and beyond. Similar problems are expected to occur for the non-abelian gauge theories considered in [5].

François DAVID⁽¹⁾

CEA, Service de Physique Théorique, CE-Saclay
F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, FRANCE

⁽¹⁾Member of CNRS

PACS numbers: 11.15.Bt, 11.15.Ha, 75.10.Jm

-
- [1] A. Patrascioiu and E. Seiler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74** (1995) 1920-1923.
 - [2] F. David, Commun. Math. Phys. **81** (1981) 149.
 - [3] F. David, B. Duplantier and E. Guitter, Nucl. Phys. **B394** (1993) 555.
 - [4] M. Lässig and R. Lipowsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70** (1993) 1131.
 - [5] A. Patrascioiu and E. Seiler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74** (1995) 1924-1927.